UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,309	06/09/2006	Hisashi Ohtsuki	6340-000076/US/NP	4980
	7590 08/31/201 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828	•	JOYCE, WILLIAM C		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/582,309	OHTSUKI, HISASHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C. Joyce	3656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Au</u>	_ -					
,_						
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	x parte Quayle, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	0 0.0. 210.				
· <u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>7-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Oce the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed August 5, 2010 for the above identified patent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,603,554 (US '554) in view of Japanese Reference 57-194805 (JP '805).

Referring to Figure 1, US '554 discloses a vehicle wheel bearing apparatus structured as a unit of a wheel hub and a double row rolling bearing comprising: an inner member including a wheel hub integrally formed with a wheel mounting flange (36) on one end, an inner circumferential surface of the wheel hub (32) is formed with a serration, an axially extending cylindrical portion (48) with a pair of inner raceway surfaces; one or more inner rings being press-fit onto the cylindrical portion of the wheel hub, the one or more inner rings are formed with at least one of the inner raceway surfaces on its outer circumferential surface; an outer member (62) is arranged around the inner member, the outer member is formed with double row outer raceway surfaces on its inner circumferential

Application/Control Number: 10/582,309 Page 3

Art Unit: 3656

surface opposite to the inner raceway surfaces; double row rolling elements (70) are arranged between the inner and outer raceway surfaces of the inner member and the outer member.

US '554 does not disclose seals for sealing an annular space of the bearing. It was notoriously known in the art to use seals in vehicle hub arrangements for sealing the bearing from contaminates. For example, the prior art to JP '805 illustrates in Figure 2 a hub arrangement having seals. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the bearings of US '544 with seals, as taught by JP '805, motivation being to prevent contaminates from entering the bearing space.

US '554 does not disclose a partition wall integrally formed on the wheel hub at its outboard side to close a central bore of the wheel hub. The prior art to JP '805 teaches in Figure 2 a wheel hub (21) having a partition wall. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the wheel hub of US '554 with an integrally formed partition wall formed on the wheel hub at its outboard side to close a central bore of the wheel hub, as taught by JP '805, motivation being provide a stronger hub and/or simplify the structure of the drive shaft.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,309 Page 4

Art Unit: 3656

USP '554 does not disclose the bearings having a cage member. However, it was notoriously known in the art to provide a bearing member with a cage. For example, the prior art device illustrated by applicant in Figure 4 shows a cage member (63) for supporting bearings. Official Notice is taken with respect to providing a cage for a bearing member, since a bearing cage was a well known means for supporting rolling bearings at a predetermined spacing.

3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,603,554 (US '554) and Japanese Reference 57-194805 (JP '805), as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of US Patent 7,255,482 (USP '482).

The prior art to USP '554 does not disclose the hub assembly having the claimed hardened surfaces. However, the prior art to USP '482 discloses an outer circumferential region of the wheel mounting flange from an inboard base side to the axially extending cylindrical portion is hardened by high frequency induction hardening to have a surface hardness of about 54-64 HRC, and the caulked portion remains unhardened to have a surface hardness of 25 HRC or less after forging. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bearing device of USP '554 with the claimed surfaces, as taught by USP '482, motivation being to provide hardened wear resistant hub surfaces while prevent cracking of the caulked portion during manufacture.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,309 Page 5

Art Unit: 3656

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.